Financial Statements and Supplementary Information

June 30, 2016

(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet	11
Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	13
Required Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	23
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule 1: Fund Balance Sheet Comparison – Cash Basis	24
Schedule 2: Flow of Funds Comparison – Cash Basis	25
Schedule 3: Balance of Funds Under the Bond Indenture – Cash Basis	26
Schedule 4: Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Cash Basis	27
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	28



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Board of Directors
The Atlanta Development Authority, d/b/a Invest Atlanta

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund of the City of Atlanta, Georgia Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund (the "Fund") as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Fund's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major fund of the Fund, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Atlanta, Georgia, as of June 30, 2016 and the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the fiscal year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinions are not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the budgetary comparison information on pages 4 through 10 and 23, respectively, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Fund's basic financial statements. The Fund Balance Sheet Comparison – Cash Basis, Flow of Funds Comparison – Cash Basis, Balances of Funds Under the Bond Indenture – Cash Basis, and Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Cash Basis are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Fund Balance Sheet Comparison – Cash Basis, Flow of Funds Comparison – Cash Basis, Balances of Funds Under the Bond Indenture – Cash Basis, and Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Cash Basis are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Fund Balance Sheet Comparison – Cash Basis, Flow of Funds Comparison – Cash Basis, Balances of Funds Under the Bond Indenture – Cash Basis, and Debt Service Coverage Ratio – Cash Basis are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 19, 2017 on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Mauldin & Jenlins, LLC

Atlanta, Georgia January 19, 2017

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

This section of the annual financial report of the Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund ("Fund" or "District") presents the analysis of the Fund's financial performance during the fiscal year ended on June 30, 2016. Please read it in conjunction with the financial statements and their accompanying notes, which follow this section. The financial statements include only the financial activities of the Eastside Tax Allocation District. The Fund is an integral part of the City of Atlanta's ("City") government reporting entity and its results are included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City as a Nonmajor Governmental Fund.

The Atlanta Development Authority, d/b/a Invest Atlanta ("ADA") is the redevelopment agent for the Eastside Tax Allocation District and is responsible for all the financial reporting and compliance required by the 2005 Bond documents.

As mentioned above, while the tax allocation districts are shown on the City's CAFR, both the City and ADA jointly decide which district should have separate financial statements. Separate financial statements provide the reader with more financial information pertaining to each individual tax allocation district.

The Eastside Tax Allocation District

On December 1, 2003, the Atlanta City Council adopted Ordinance 03-O-1840 which adopted the Eastside Redevelopment Plan; created the Tax Allocation District Number Five-Eastside and authorized the pledge of positive ad valorem tax allocation increments for the payment of or security for the payment of tax allocation bonds. The Initial Ordinance was amended by the City Council by Ordinance 04-O-0231 adopted on February 16, 2004 and signed by the Mayor on February 24, 2004. The City was authorized to finance certain Redevelopment Costs as defined by the Redevelopment Powers Law the following costs: clearing, grading and preparing the property for redevelopment; environmental remediation of the property; design, construction and installation of utilities; design, construction and installation of streets, sidewalks and other public works; design and construction of parking facilities; acquisition and development of parks and greenspace; and any other facilities and improvements located in the Eastside Tax Allocation District that are eligible to be financed as Redevelopment Costs under the Act.

ADA was designated as the redevelopment agent to implement the Eastside Redevelopment Plan. The City financed a portion of the Redevelopment Costs related to the Series 2005 Bonds through the issuance of its tax allocation bonds according to Ordinance 05-O-0263 adopted March 7, 2005.

In August 2005, the Eastside Tax Allocation Bonds were issued: the Series 2005A Bonds for \$9,480,000 and Series 2005B Bonds for \$38,000,000 for a total of \$47,480,000. On March 21, 2016, the City of Atlanta issued the Eastside 2016 Refunding TAD Bonds for a par value of \$30,555,000. The proceeds from the sale of the Series 2016 Bonds were applied by the City (i) to refund the Series 2005 A&B Bonds currently outstanding in the aggregate principal amount of \$38,000,000, (ii) to establish a debt service reserve fund for the Series 2016 Bonds, and (iii) to pay costs incident to issuing the Series 2016 Bonds and refunding the Series 2005 Bonds. The City Council of the City adopted Resolution 16-O-1105 and was approved by the Mayor on March 21, 2016. A supplemental Resolution 04-O-0231 was adopted by the City Council of the City and approved by the Mayor on May 11, 2016.

The Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, Georgia by resolution on December 17, 2003 and the Board of Education of the Atlanta Independent School System by resolution on December 8, 2003 consented to the inclusion of their respective shares of positive ad valorem tax increments derived for the Eastside Tax Allocation District as security for the Series 2005 bonds.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

Overview of Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the Fund's financial statements. The Funds' financial statements consist of five (5) components: 1) governmental fund financial statements, 2) government-wide financial statements, 3) notes to the financial statements, 4) required supplemental schedule, and 5) other supplemental schedules. Because the Fund's activities are single purpose in nature, the governmental fund and government-wide financial statements are presented together in the statements with an adjustment column reconciling the differences.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements focus only on the Fund's balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year and are presented on a modified accrual basis. Only current assets, current liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources for unavailable property taxes are presented in the Balance Sheet. The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance focus only on the Fund's near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources for the fiscal year. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current fiscal year or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For this purpose, the Fund considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the full accrual basis of accounting, similar to that used by private sector companies. The Statement of Net Position column reports information about all assets, deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities of the Fund – both current and long-term. The Statement of Activities measures the success of the Fund's operations over the past fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to gain a full understanding of the data provided in the financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found on pages 13 to 22 of this report.

Required Supplemental Schedule

Included in these financial statements is the schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances – budget to actual for the Eastside Tax Allocation District governmental fund. This schedule can be found on page 23.

Supplemental Schedules

ADA, as Redevelopment Agent, is responsible for the compliance reporting to the Atlanta City Council, City of Atlanta, ADA Board of Directors, the Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, and the Board of Education of the Atlanta Independent School System. Quarterly reporting consists of cash basis financials for the tax allocation district and meets the compliance requirement. The cash basis financials consist of two schedules: the Fund Balance Sheet Comparison and the Flow of Funds Comparison. These schedules are presented as Schedules 1 and 2 in the supplementary information section of this report.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

Schedule 3 consists of balances on deposit in each of the funds held by the Trustee. As of June 30, 2016, the Eastside Tax Allocation District has also funded a Supplemental Debt Service Reserve Fund of \$3,049,410. This fund is in addition to the Debt Service Reserve Fund. The schedule also includes a statement as to whether there were withdrawals from the Debt Service Reserve Funds. Schedule 4 presents the Fund's debt service coverage ratio.

A summary comparison of the governmental fund statements for June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 are presented on the following page:

Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund Summary Comparison of Governmental Fund Balance Sheets As of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016

		2015		2016
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	64,994,114	\$	77,588,191
Investments	•	30,273	*	30,356
Property taxes receivable		480,686		300,504
Due from Fulton County Tax Commissioner		1,996		-
Restricted investments		10,314,879		4,646,076
Total Assets	\$	75,821,948	\$	82,565,127
Lishilitis and Defend displayers of December				
Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources: Accounts payable	\$	53,725	\$	131,063
Deferred inflow - unavailable revenue	Ψ	462,010	Ψ	300,504
Due to the City of Atlanta		9,454		64,229
Due to Fulton County Tax Commissioner		-		162,218
Due to other governments		3,502,168		3,502,168
Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources		4,027,357		4,160,182
F 1D1				
Fund Balance:		71 704 501		79 404 045
Restricted		71,794,591		78,404,945
Total Fund Balance		71,794,591		78,404,945
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources,				
and Fund Balance	\$	75,821,948	\$	82,565,127

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund Summary Comparison of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016

	2015	2016
Expenditures:		
Community development	\$ 179,979	\$ 1,099,395
General government	324,812	471,874
Debt service-principal	1,585,000	1,675,000
Debt service- interest	2,200,455	3,057,969
Debt service- cost of issuance		443,667
Total Expenditures	4,290,246	6,747,905
General Revenues:		
Tax increment revenue	11,657,181	13,183,100
Investment earnings	168,488	223,353
Other revenues	5,000	5,000
Total General Revenues	11,830,669	13,411,453
Other Financial Sources:		
Proceeds from principal of bond issuance	-	30,555,000
Proceeds from premium on bond issuance	-	5,753,775
Payments made to refunding escrow agent		(36,361,969)
Total Other Financial Sources		(53,194)
Net change in Fund Balance	7,540,423	6,610,354
Fund Balance:		
Beginning of the fiscal year	64,254,168	71,794,591
End of the fiscal year	\$ 71,794,591	\$ 78,404,945

Assets include predominately cash and cash equivalents plus restricted investments. These assets are held for future payments on the bonds of the Fund and for future investment in the District. Cash and cash equivalents have increased \$12,594,077 over last fiscal year. Restricted investments decreased \$5,668,803 due primarily to lower debt service reserve requirements under the new debt agreement.

The current liability due to other governmental entities is the result of the agreed upon procedures reconciliation of the TAD tax increment collections based on a disputed 2005 growth rate percentage and the amount due to the Fulton County Tax Commissioner for the overpayment of 2010 property taxes. The amount due for the disputed 2005 growth rate is \$3,502,168 as of June 30, 2016.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

The Summary Comparison of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance show an increase of tax increment revenue of \$1,525,919. This net increase is mainly due to the increase in the Atlanta School tax revenue.

Expenditures for community development were \$1,099,395 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. The expenditures are for qualified costs per the Redevelopment Powers law. Debt service expenditures are due semi-annually on July 1st and January 1st. Total debt service paid for the fiscal year was \$3,501,636.

The Eastside Tax Allocation District continues to report a net increase in fund balance each fiscal year. The excess revenue over expenditures were \$6,610,354 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. This includes debt service of the bonds.

The government wide financial statements for June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016 are presented below:

Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund Summary Comparison of Government wide Statement of Net Position As of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016

	2015	2016
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 64,994,114	\$ 77,588,191
Investments	30,273	30,356
Property taxes receivable	480,686	300,504
Due from Fulton County	1,996	-
Capital assets (net of depreciation)	3,715,661	3,591,460
Restricted investments	10,314,879	4,646,076
Total Assets	79,537,609	86,156,587
Deferred outflow of resources	<u> </u>	129,660
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	53,725	131,063
Accrued interest	1,055,649	178,238
Due to the City of Atlanta	9,454	64,229
Due to the Fulton County Tax Commissioner	-	162,218
Due to other governments	3,502,168	3,502,168
Current debt	1,675,000	1,545,000
Long- term debt	36,215,866	34,763,775
Total Liabilities	42,511,862	40,346,691
Total Net Position	\$ 37,025,747	\$ 45,939,556

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund Summary Comparison of Government wide Statement of Activities For the Fiscal Years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016

	2015	-	2016
Expenses:			
Community development	\$ 304,180	\$	1,223,596
Amortization expense	17,157		16,443
General government	324,812		471,874
Interest expense	2,155,876		2,180,558
Cost of issuance	-		443,667
Total Expenses	2,802,025		4,336,138
General Revenues:			
Tax increment revenue	11,714,808		13,021,594
Investment earnings	168,488		223,353
Other revenues	5,000		5,000
Total General Revenues	11,888,296		13,249,947
Change in net position	9,086,271		8,913,809
Net Position			
Beginning of the fiscal year	27,939,476		37,025,747
End of the fiscal year	\$ 37,025,747	\$	45,939,556

As noted above in the discussion, the difference between governmental fund and government wide financials is that the government wide financial statements present the information on an accrual basis. Also as discussed above, net position, like fund balance, continues to increase.

Long-Term Debt

In August 2005, the City issued \$47,480,000 in limited obligation bonds for the Eastside Tax Allocation District. In May 2016, the City issued \$38,000,000 in bonds to refund all outstanding Series 2005 Bonds for the TAD. The issuance is a limited obligation of the City, not secured by the full faith and credit of the City, but rather is secured and payable solely from the pledged revenues. Pledged revenues are defined as the positive ad valorem tax allocation increments collected above the base at the time of the creation of the tax allocation district. Legislation for the pledged revenues was adopted by the Atlanta City Council, the Board of Commissioners of Fulton County, and the Board of Education of the Atlanta Independent School System. See note 7 to the financial statements for more detailed information on this debt.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2016

Economic Factors and Outlook

The Eastside Tax Allocation District bonds were issued on August 2, 2005. The Tax Allocation District provided funding for seven projects and \$5 million for parks and greenspace acquisition and development. The Eastside Tax Allocation District provides an Affordable Housing Purchase Program, in which all residential developers receiving funding are required to set aside 20% of units for homeowners earning up to 100% of the area median income (AMI) or renters earning up to 60% of AMI.

Projects funded with the Eastside Tax Allocation District bond proceeds include 1,014 condominiums, 421 apartments, 102 hotel rooms, 86,000 square feet of retail space and 265,000 square feet of office space. To date, all projects have been completed and are performing well with the exception of Renaissance Walk, which is currently stable. Twenty-one of the 160 condominium units were sold prior to foreclosure and a change in ownership which occurred on December 17, 2011. The new owner is leasing a total of 142 units and has renamed the project City Walk. All 142 units are leased. The retail space is approximately 85 percent occupied as of June 2015.

As a reflection of the performance of the District, Standard & Poor's Rating Services assigned its 'A-' long term rating to the Series 2016 Eastside TAD Refunding Bonds. Moody's Investors Service assigned a rating of 'A2' while Fitch Ratings assigned a rating of 'A+" to these same bonds at issuance.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Fund's finances for all those with an interest in them. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional information should be addressed to the Chief Financial Officer, Atlanta Development Authority, 86 Pryor Street, SW, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30303.

Statement of Net Position and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2016

		Eastside ax Allocation istrict Fund		estments ote 3)		eatement of let Position
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	77,588,191	\$	_	\$	77,588,191
Investments	Ψ	30,356	Ψ	_	Ψ	30,356
Property taxes receivable, net		30,330				30,330
of allowance		300,504				300,504
		300,304		-		300,304
Restricted assets:		4 (4(07(4 (4(07(
Investments Conits locate not of accumulated		4,646,076		-		4,646,076
Capital assets, net of accumulated				2 501 460		2 501 460
depreciation		<u>-</u>		3,591,460		3,591,460
Total assets		82,565,127		3,591,460		86,156,587
Deferred Outflows of Resources						
Deferred loss on bond refunding		-		129,660		129,660
Total deferred outflows of resources		-		129,660		129,660
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$	82,565,127				
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	131,063		_		131,063
Due to other governments		3,502,168		_		3,502,168
Due to the City of Atlanta		64,229		-		64,229
Due to Fulton County Tax Commissioner		162,218		-		162,218
Liabilities payable from restricted assets:		,				,
Accrued interest payable		_		178,238		178,238
Long-term debt:				Í		
Due within one year		-		1,545,000		1,545,000
Due after one year		-	3	34,763,775		34,763,775
Total liabilities		3,859,678	3	36,487,013		40,346,691
Deferred Inflows of Resources						
Unavailable revenue - property taxes		300,504		(300,504)		-
Total deferred inflows of resources		300,504		(300,504)		-
Fund Balance/Net Position (Deficit)						
Fund balance:						
Restricted for debt service		3,049,410	((3,049,410)		_
Restricted for redevelopment		75,355,535		75,355,535)		_
Total fund balance		78,404,945		78,404,945)		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balance	\$	82,565,127				
Net position (deficit):		· · · ·				
Investment in capital assets				3,591,460		3,591,460
Restricted for debt service				3,049,410		
			_			3,049,410
Restricted for redevelopment Unrestricted				75,355,535		75,355,535
				86,056,849)	-	(36,056,849)
Total net position (deficit)			\$ 4	15,939,556	\$	45,939,556

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund		Tax Allocation Adjus		Statement of Activities	
Expenditures/expenses						
General government	\$	471,874	\$	-	\$	471,874
Community development		1,099,395		124,201		1,223,596
Amortization expense		-		16,443		16,443
Debt service:						
Principal		1,675,000		(1,675,000)		-
Interest		3,057,969		(877,411)		2,180,558
Cost of issuance		443,667				443,667
Total expenditures/expenses		6,747,905		(2,411,767)		4,336,138
General revenues						
Tax increment revenue		13,183,100		(161,506)		13,021,594
Investment earnings		223,353		-		223,353
Other revenues		5,000		-		5,000
Total general revenues		13,411,453		(161,506)		13,249,947
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over						
(under) expenditures		6,663,548		(6,663,548)		-
Other financial sources:						
Proceeds from principal of bond issuance		30,555,000		(30,555,000)		-
Proceeds from premium on bond issuance		5,753,775		(5,753,775)		-
Payments to refunding bond escrow agent		(36,361,969)		36,361,969		_
Total other financial sources		(53,194)		53,194		-
Net change in fund balance		6,610,354		(6,610,354)		-
Change in net position		-		(8,913,809)		8,913,809
Fund balance/net position (deficit)						
Beginning of the fiscal year		71,794,591		(34,768,844)		37,025,747
End of the fiscal year	\$	78,404,945	\$	(43,682,653)	\$	45,939,556

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

(1) Reporting Entity

The Eastside Tax Allocation District (the "Fund") of the City of Atlanta, Georgia (the "City") was created in 1993 in order to finance permitted redevelopment costs within the Eastside Tax Allocation District. These redevelopment costs, primarily infrastructure related, were provided to the area incorporated within the Eastside Tax Allocation District in order to facilitate overall development of the area by a private developer. The financing of these redevelopment costs is able to be provided by bonds, notes or other obligations of the City which are payable from ad valorem property taxes levied on assessed value of the property within the Eastside Tax Allocation District after development, less the amount of ad valorem property taxes assessed before redevelopment. These ad valorem property taxes assessed include those assessed on behalf of the City, Fulton County, and the Atlanta Independent School System. February 11, 2008, the Supreme Court of Georgia ruled that School tax funds shall be expended only for the support and maintenance of public schools and cannot be utilized or diverted for any other purpose. The City of Atlanta petitioned in the Superior Court of Fulton County, State of Georgia on March 4, 2008 for a Writ of Mandamus, requesting preliminary and permanent injunctive relief for Atlanta Independent School System's tax funds on previously validated bonds. The Superior Court concluded that the Supreme Court decision did not have any effect on any bonds which have already been validated. The Fulton County Tax Commissioner was ordered to continue to forward the School tax funds to the Tax Allocation Districts with validated bonds.

The City has designated the Atlanta Development Authority, d/b/a Invest Atlanta as the redevelopment agent for the Eastside Tax Allocation District. The accompanying financial statements include only the financial activities of the Fund. The Fund is an integral part of the City's government reporting entity and its results are included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City as a governmental fund. The latest available CAFR is as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016; that CAFR should be read in conjunction with these financial statements.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) General

In its accounting and financial reporting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the Fund follows the pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

(b) Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The Fund presents government-wide financial statements which are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus. Government-wide financial statements (i.e. the statement of net position and the statement of activities) do not provide information by fund, but present the governmental activities using a different basis of accounting. Significantly, the statement of net position includes noncurrent assets and liabilities and the government-wide statement of activities reflects changes in long-term assets and liabilities and deferred outflows of resources and the government-wide statement of activities reflects changes in long-term assets and liabilities. Net position in the statement of net position is distinguished between amounts that are invested in capital assets, restricted for use by third parties or outside requirements, and amounts that are unrestricted.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: 1) charges to customers who purchase, use, or benefit from the services provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Unrestricted interest income and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as general revenues.

In addition to the government-wide financial statements, the Fund has prepared separate fund financial statements. The fund financial statements use the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus. Due to the single purpose nature of the activities of the Fund, the government-wide and fund financial statements have been presented together with an adjustments column reconciling the differences.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are considered measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current fiscal year or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For this purpose, the Fund considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days after the end of the fiscal year. Property taxes and investment income associated with the current fiscal year are considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal year if available. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, with an exception for principal and interest on long-term debt, which is recognized when due.

The Fund has only one governmental fund – the Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund – which records all of its activity and is used to account for all financial resources of the Fund.

(d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date acquired by the Fund.

(e) Investments

Investments are recorded on the statement of net position and the balance sheet at fair value. All investment income, including changes in the fair value of investments, is reported as revenue in the statement of activities and the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance.

(f) Restricted Assets

The bond indenture states that the trustee shall establish and maintain segregated trust accounts in the issuer's name for debt service, capitalized interest, and other related reserves.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

(g) Taxes Receivable

Although the Eastside Tax Allocation District ("TAD") is not a taxing authority, it is the direct recipient of positive ad valorem tax allocation increments derived from the Eastside Tax Allocation District each fiscal year. The TAD's revenue stream from the incremental ad valorem taxes is based upon the annual assessed value of property and the property tax billings in excess of the District's 2003 base year.

(h) Capital Assets

The Fund reports capital assets for only those projects in which ownership has been transferred from the Developer. During the construction phase, in which the Fund will provide financing for, the Developer enjoys full rights and ownership of the property. Only upon completion and subsequent transfer of ownership to the Fund, will the Fund report it as a capital asset. Capital assets which are owned by the Fund are stated at cost as paid by the Developer. Depreciation on capital assets is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives as follows:

Infrastructure

35 years

(i) Fund Equity and Net Position

Fund equity at the governmental fund financial reporting level is classified as "fund balance." Fund equity for all other reporting is classified as "net position."

Fund Balance – Generally, fund balance represents the difference between the assets and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources under the current financial resources measurement focus of accounting. In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the TAD is bound to honor constraints on the specific purpose for which amounts in those funds can be spent.

Fund balances are classified as follows:

Nonspendable – Fund balances are reported as nonspendable when amounts cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form (i.e., items that are not expected to be converted to cash) or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted – Fund balances are reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through enabling legislation adopted by the City or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

Committed – Fund balances are reported as committed when they can be used only for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by a formal vote of the City Council. Only the City Council may modify or rescind the commitment by passage of a subsequent ordinance.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

Assigned – Fund balances are reported as assigned when amounts are constrained by the City's intent to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. The Chief Financial Officer of the City may recommend assignment of fund balances subject to approval of the City Council.

Unassigned – Fund balances are reported as unassigned for the residual amount when the balances do not meet any of the above criterion.

Flow Assumptions – When both restricted and unrestricted amounts of fund balance are available for use for expenditures incurred, it is the Fund's policy to use restricted amounts first and then unrestricted amounts as they are needed. For unrestricted amounts of fund balance, it is the Fund's policy, by default, to use fund balance in the following order: (1) Committed, (2) Assigned, and (3) Unassigned.

Net Position – Net position represents the difference between assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources in reporting which utilizes the economic resources measurement focus. Amounts shown as net investment in capital assets are made up of capital asset costs, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used (i.e., the amount that the TAD has spent) for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted using the same definition as used for restricted fund balance as described in the section above. All other net position is reported as unrestricted. The TAD applies restricted resources first when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position is available. Restrictions on the government-wide statement of net position represent amounts segregated to meet debt covenants and the Bond Indenture.

(j) Budget

The Fund legally adopts an annual operating budget. A schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances – budget to actual is presented in the required supplementary information section of this financial report.

(k) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, deferred inflows and outflows of resources and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

(1) Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until then. The Fund has one item that qualifies for reporting in this category. It is the deferred charge on refunding reported in the government-wide financial statements on the statement of net position. A deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunding or refunded debt.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The Fund has one type of deferred inflow, which arises only under a modified accrual basis of accounting that qualifies for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue, is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental fund reports unavailable revenues from property taxes and these amounts are deferred and will be recognized as an inflow of resources in the period in which the amounts become available.

(3) Reconciliation of Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

(a) Explanation of adjustments between the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmentwide statement of net position

The Governmental fund balance sheet is adjusted for the following items to report the statement of net position.

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds. \$ 3,591,460

Interest on long-term debt is not accrued in governmental funds, but rather is recognized as an expenditure when due. (178,238)

Long-term liabilities applicable to the Fund's governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported as fund liabilities. All liabilities for bonds – both current and long-term – are reported in the statement of net position. This item includes the related deferred loss on refunding reported as a deferred outflow of resources. (36,179,115)

Amounts of property taxes receivable not available as of fiscal year end are deferred inflows in governmental funds, but are recognized as revenue when earned in the government-wide statements.

300,504

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

(b) Explanation of adjustments between the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance and the government-wide statement of activities

The Governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance is adjusted for the following items to report the statement of activities.

Issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums or discounts when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The details of this difference are as follows:

Payments on bonds	1,675,000
Amortization of discount	(16,443)
Proceeds from principal of bond issuance	(30,555,000)
Proceeds from premium on bond issuance	(5,753,775)
Payments to refunding bond escrow agent	36,361,969

Payments for interest on the bonds are accrued in the statement of activities, but only reported when due in the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance. This is the amount of the change in the accrued interest payable.

877,411

Tax revenue and other revenue in the statement of activities differ from the amount reported in the governmental funds due to the change in deferred inflow for unavailable revenue between fiscal year ends. (161,506)

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount of depreciation expense in the current period:

Depreciation expense

(124,201)

(4) Legal Compliance – Budgets

The City of Atlanta, Georgia is required to adopt a balanced budget each fiscal year and maintains budgetary controls to ensure compliance with legal provisions of the annual appropriated budget approved by the Mayor and City Council. Annual budgets are adopted for the Tax Allocation District with the level of legal budgetary control established by the City Council at the department level.

The amounts of anticipated revenues and appropriated expenditures for the annual budget are controlled by the City Charter and various ordinances adopted by the City Council.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

The responsibility for revenue anticipations and specified appropriations is fixed by law by the Budget Commission, which is composed of the Mayor, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chair of the City Council Finance Committee, and two other members of City Council. The Budget Commission may not anticipate in any fiscal year an amount in excess of 99% of the normal revenues of the City actually collected during the previous fiscal year (unless tax rates are increased) plus any accumulated cash surplus carried forward from the previous fiscal year.

After the initial annual budget is adopted, it may be amended for interdepartmental transfers of appropriations with the approval of City Council. Intradepartmental transfers of appropriations among individual budgetary accounts may be initiated by a department head with approval of the Chief Operating Officer, the Chair of the City Council Finance Committee, and the Chief Financial Officer.

Total appropriations for any fund may be increased if, during the fiscal year, sources of revenue become available to the City in excess of original anticipations, and these amounts are anticipated by the Budget Commission and subsequently appropriated by City Council. No such additional appropriations were required during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

(5) Deposits and Investments

Investments, with a carrying value of \$30,356, consist of investment in the Georgia Fund 1, a local government investment pool administered by the State of Georgia Office of the State Treasurer. The pool's primary objectives are safety of capital, investment income, liquidity and diversification while maintaining principal (\$1 per share value). The asset value is calculated weekly to ensure stability. The pool distributes earnings (net of management fees) on a monthly basis and determines participants' shares sold and redeemed based on \$1 per share. The pool also adjusts the value of its investments to fair market value as of year-end and the Fund's investment in the Georgia Fund 1 is reported at fair value. As the investments represent ownership of a portion of a large pool of investments, these amounts are not categorizable for custodial risk disclosure.

Credit risk. State statutes authorize the Fund to invest in obligations of the state of Georgia or other states; obligations issued by the U.S. government; obligations fully insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government or by a government agency of the United States; obligations of any corporation of the U.S. government; prime banker's acceptances; the local government investment pool established by state law; repurchase agreements; and obligations of other political subdivisions of the state of Georgia. As of June 30, 2016, the Fund's investment in the Georgia Fund 1 was rated AAAf by Standard & Poor's, the First America U.S. Treasuries Mutual Fund was rated AAAm, the U.S. Bank U.S. Agency Obligation Mutual Fund was rated AAA, and the Fidelity Money Market Mutual Fund was rated AAA.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

At June 30, 2016, the Fund had the following investments:

Investment	Maturities / Duration]	Fair Value
First American U.S. Treasuries - Mutual Funds	39 days	\$	1,489,504
U.S. Bank - US Agency Obligation Mutual Funds	May 25, 2021		3,112,716
Georgia Fund 1	42 days		30,356
Fidelity Institutional Money Market Mutual Fund	46 days		3,624
Cash held with trustee	N/A		40,232
Total		\$	4,676,432

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates may adversely affect an investment's fair value. Since the price of a bond fluctuates with market interest rates, the risk that an investor faces is that the price of the bonds in a portfolio will decline if market interest rates rise. At June 30, 2016, interest rate risk is reported in the above table as "Maturities/Duration" for each of the applicable investment classifications.

Fair Value Measurements. The Fund categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. The Fund has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2016:

Investment		Level 1		Level 2	Le	vel 3	F	air Value
First American US Treasuries Mutual Fund	\$	1,489,504	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,489,504
US Bank - US Agency Obligartion Mutual	Ψ	1, 100,001	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	1, 100,001
Fund		-		3,112,716		-		3,112,716
Fidelity Money Market Mutual Fund		3,624				-		3,624
Total investments measured at fair value	\$	1,493,128	\$	3,112,716	\$	-	\$	4,605,844
Investments not subject to level disclosure: Georgia Fund 1								30,356
Total investments at fair value							\$	4,636,200

The mutual funds classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy are valued using prices quoted in active markets for those investments. The US Agency Obligation Mutual Fund is valued using a matrix pricing technique. Matrix pricing is used to value securities based on the securities' relationship to benchmark quoted prices. The Georgia Fund 1 is an investment pool which does not meet the criteria of GASB Statement No. 79 and is thus valued at fair value in accordance with GASB Statement No. 31. As a result, the Fund does not disclose the investment in the Georgia Fund 1 within the fair value hierarchy.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

Custodial Credit Risk-Deposits. Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. State statutes require all deposits and investments (other than federal or state government instruments) to be collateralized by depository insurance, obligations of the U.S. government, or bonds of public authorities, counties, or municipalities. As of June 30, 2016, the Fund had no bank balances that were exposed to custodial credit risk.

(6) Capital Assets

Capital assets activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 consists of the following:

	June 30, 2015	Additions	Deletions	June 30, 2016
Capital assets: Infrastructure	\$ 4,347,012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,347,012
Total capital assets	4,347,012			4,347,012
Less accumulated depreciation Infrastructure Total accumulated depreciation	(631,351) (631,351)	(124,201) (124,201)		(755,552) (755,552)
Net capital assets	\$ 3,715,661	\$ (124,201)	\$ -	\$ 3,591,460

Depreciation expense is entirely charged to community development.

(7) Bonds Payable

During 2005, the City issued \$47,480,000 in limited obligation bonds for the Eastside Tax Allocation District. During 2016, the City issued \$38,000,000 in refunding bonds for the Eastside Tax Allocation District to refund all outstanding 2005 Bonds. The 2005 Bonds were redeemed by year-end. The result of the refunding transaction is a decrease in future debt service payments of \$9,981,559, resulting in a net present value savings to the Fund of \$7,666,791. The issuance is a limited obligation of the City, not secured by the full faith and credit of the City, but rather is secured solely by, and payable solely from, the Pledged Revenues. The pledged revenues are defined as the tax allocation increments, the amount of property taxes generated within the district area which exceed the amount collected from the same area prior to development, from the City, Fulton County, and the Atlanta Independent School System. The property tax increments are pledged until the payment in full of the bonds.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

June 30, 2016

The Fund's debt service requirements based upon required sinking fund and interest payments are as follows:

	Principal	Interest	Total debt service
Fiscal year ending June 30:			
2017	1,545,000	\$ 942,113	\$ 2,487,113
2018	1,625,000	1,450,500	3,075,500
2019	1,710,000	1,369,250	3,079,250
2020	1,795,000	1,283,750	3,078,750
2021	1,890,000	1,194,000	3,084,000
2022-2026	10,985,000	4,454,500	15,439,500
2027-2031	11,005,000	1,409,750	12,414,750
	\$ 30,555,000	\$ 12,103,863	\$ 42,658,863

The Fund's long-term liability activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, was as follows:

Bonds	Balance June 30, 2015		Additions	Redu	ctions	Balance June 30, 2016	D	Amount ue Within One Year
Series 2016 Bonds Plus: Premium Series 2005 Bonds	\$ - 38,035,000	\$	30,555,000 5,753,775	\$ 38,0	- - 035,000	\$ 30,555,000 5,753,775	\$	1,545,000
Less: Discount	(144,134)	_			144,134)	-		
Total	\$ 37,890,866	\$	36,308,775	\$ 37,8	390,866	\$ 36,308,775	\$	1,545,000

(8) Transfer of Assets to the City of Atlanta

The Atlanta Development Authority is the Redevelopment Agent for the City of Atlanta. As certain developer capital improvements are completed, the ownership of those assets are transferred to the City of Atlanta to be included as capital assets and depreciated according to the City of Atlanta's policy and procedures. At fiscal year-end, the balance of those assets which are currently completed and reported by the Fund have a historical cost of \$4,347,012.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

	Budget					Variance With	
	 Original		Final		Actual	F	inal Budget
Revenues	 						
Tax increment revenue	\$ 13,059,048	\$	13,059,048	\$	13,183,100	\$	124,052
Investment earnings	170,000		170,000		223,353		53,353
Other revenues	 		-		5,000		5,000
Total revenues	 13,229,048		13,229,048		13,411,453		182,405
Expenditures							
Current:							
General government:							
Executive offices	2,256,442		2,256,442		1,308,681		947,761
Finance	 305,000		305,000		262,588		42,412
Total general government	 2,561,442		2,561,442		1,571,269		990,173
Debt service:							
Principal	1,675,000		1,675,000		1,675,000		-
Interest	3,059,938		3,059,938		3,057,969		1,969
Cost of issuance	 443,667		443,667		443,667		-
Total debt service	 5,178,605		5,178,605		5,176,636		1,969
Total expenditures	 7,740,047		7,740,047		6,747,905		992,142
Excess (deficiency) of revenues							
over (under) expenditures	 5,489,001		5,489,001		6,663,548		1,174,547
Other financial sources							
Proceeds from sale of bonds	_		-		36,308,775		36,308,775
Payments to refunding escrow agent	(36,360,000)		(36,360,000)		(36,361,969)		(1,969)
Total other financial sources	(36,360,000)		(36,360,000)		(53,194)		36,306,806
Net change in fund balances	(30,870,999)		(30,870,999)		6,610,354		37,481,353
Fund balance, beginning of fiscal year	 71,794,591		71,794,591		71,794,591		-
Fund balance, end of fiscal year	\$ 40,923,592	\$	40,923,592	\$	78,404,945	\$	37,481,353

CITY OF ATLANTA, GEORGIA EASTSIDE TAX ALLOCATION DISTRICT FUND FUND BALANCE SHEET COMPARISON - CASH BASIS AS OF THE PERIOD ENDED

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

	December 31, 2004	December 31, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2007	June 30, 2008	June 30,2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2015	June 30, 201
	=												
ASSETS:													
Restricted Cash: Tax Increment													
Restricted Cash Tax Increment Fund	\$ 1,336,045.12			, , ,	\$ 11,567,574.98	\$ 18,142,059.12		\$ 38,435,313.91			\$57,009,571.05	\$64,994,113.72	\$77,588,191
Restricted Cash Tax Increment Investment Fund	-	8,781,199.87	8,972,525.00	4,239,645.95	29,567.40	29,974.72	30,054.21	30,107.88	30,144.19	30,191.22	30,227.30	30,273.36	30,355
otal Restricted Cash Tax Increment Fund	1,336,045.12	8,781,450.89	8,972,525.00	5,998,378.35	11,597,142.38	18,172,033.84	24,803,170.57	38,465,421.79	44,648,479.32	51,454,145.87	57,039,798.35	65,024,387.08	77,618,546
estricted Cash: Other Funds													
estricted Cash- Capitalized Interest Fund-GIC	-	4,895,232.78	4,013,607.32	1,604,638.47	0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
estricted Cash- Debt Service Reserve Fund-GIC	-	3,745,885.00	3,821,851.55	3,821,851.94	3,821,851.54	3,821,851.52	3,821,851.52	3,821,851.52	3,745,884.98	3,745,885.00	3,745,885.00	3,745,885.00	58,24
estricted Cash- Project A Fund - GIC	_	6,630,053.45	5,068,307.71	1,739,273.53	733,509.61	718,821.62	718,821.62	718,828.83	718,828.83	718,828.83	441,352.14	76,016.20	5,97
testricted Cash- Project B Fund - GIC	_	21.560.606.15	19.992.835.88	10,021,968.30	6,722,777.56	3,067,583.00	2,734,688.57	2,672,045.31	1,732,267.78	1,542,883.30	1,535,865.71	1,535,865.71	311,723
Restricted Cash- Project B Fund- APS	_	1,944,498.00	1,944,498.00	1,944,498.00	1,944,498.00	1,944,498.00	197,191.80	_,-,-,-,-,-,-	-	-	-	-	. ,
testricted Cash- Interest Fund	_	-,01.,100.00	7.292.71	7.650.11	0.09	1,289,663,40	1.331.584.66	1.296.044.12	1.182.352.45	1,294,348,10	1.403.587.20	1.131.615.93	1.113.567
Restricted Cash- Supplemental DSR Fund		_	7,232.71	3.816.145.30	3.788.682.07	3,748,450,34	3.745.885.00	3.745.885.00	3.745.885.00	3.745.885.00	3.745.885.00	3.745.885.00	1,110,007
Restricted Cash - Tax Increment Fund		2,002,765.00	2.036.537.55	24,628.72	1,357,436.61	21,209.10	174.941.92	33.81	8.032.35	64.14	4.004.16	3,644.06	3.623
	_		2,030,337.33		1,557,450.01		174,541.52	33.01	0,032.33	04.14	,	3,044.00	- ,
Restricted Cash - 2016 Debt Service Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,049,410
testricted Cash - 2016 Cost of Issuance otal Restricted Cash: Other Funds		40.779.040.38	-		40 200 750 44	-	12.724.965.09	12,254,688.59	- 44 422 254 20	11.047.894.37	10.876.579.21	10.238.911.90	40,233 4,582,77 0
otal Restricted Casil. Other Funds	-	40,779,040.36	36,884,930.72	22,980,654.37	18,368,756.44	14,612,076.98	12,724,965.09	12,254,666.55	11,133,251.39	11,047,054.37	10,070,579.21	10,230,911.90	4,562,77
ccounts Receivable:													
nterest Receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75,966.54	75,966.55	75,966.55	75,966.55	63,308
ulton County Tax Commissioner	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,880.98	4,046.13	13,472.84	1,996.45	
Vestside TAD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,250.00	-	
nvest Atlanta		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250.00	-	
otal Accounts Receivable:		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	142,847.52	80,012.68	90,939.39	77,963.00	63,305
OTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,336,045.12	\$ 49,560,491.27	\$ 45,857,455.72	\$ 28,979,032.72	\$ 29,965,898.82	\$ 32,784,110.82	\$ 37,528,135.66	\$ 50,720,110.38	\$ 55,924,578.23	\$ 62,582,052.92	\$ 68,007,316.95	\$ 75,341,261.98	\$ 82,264,622
ABILITIES/FUND BALANCE:													
ther Payable- Governmental Entities	\$ -	\$ 8,944,293.00	\$ 8,854,898.28	\$ 3,498,289.00	\$ 3,502,735.00	\$ 3,501,568.00	\$ 3,501,596.00	\$ 3,501,596.00	\$ 3,501,596.00	\$3,501,596.00	\$ 3,502,167.00	\$ 3,502,167.00	\$ 3,502,168
ther Payable- City- Pension Fund- Erroneous Deposit	-	-	-	11,382.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ayables other	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	5,811.25	-	-	-	-	
ther Payable- FCTC	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	687,409.25	_	_	_	_	162,21
ther Payable-Due to City Cash Pool	_	_	_	2.946.50	_	_	_	12,637.00	14.387.28	47.649.00	14,802.75	9.453.75	64.22
tal Liabilities	-	8,944,293.00	8,854,898.28	3,512,617.55	3,502,735.00	3,501,568.00	3,501,596.00	4,207,453.50	3,515,983.28	3,549,245.00	3,516,969.75	3,511,620.75	3,728,61
ind Balance	1.301.136.88	1.336.045.12	40.616.198.27	37.002.557.44	25.466.415.17	26.463.163.82	29.282.542.82	34.026.539.66	46.512.656.88	52.408.594.95	59,032,807.92	64,490,347.20	71.829.64
ources (Uses) Balance	1,336,045,12	39.280.153.15	(3.613.640.83)	(11.536.142.27)	996.748.65	2.819.379.00	4.743.996.84	12.486.117.22	5.895.938.07	6.624.212.97	5.457.539.28	7.339.294.03	6.706.36
otal Fund Balance	2,637,182.00	40,616,198.27	37,002,557.44	25,466,415.17	26,463,163.82	29,282,542.82	34,026,539.66	46,512,656.88	52,408,594.95	59,032,807.92	64,490,347.20	71,829,641.23	78,536,00

The Fund Balance Sheet Comparison lists the bank balances as of fiscal year-end and is reported on the cash basis of accounting.

CITY OF ATLANTA, GEORGIA EASTSIDE TAX ALLOCATION DISTRICT FUND FLOW OF FUNDS COMPARISON - CASH BASIS FOR THE PERIOD ENDED

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SOURCES OF FUNDS: Tax Increments Bond Proceeds-Series A Bond Proceeds-Series A Bond Proceeds-Series B Bord Proceeds-Series B Bord Proceeds-Series B Bord Proceeds-Series B Bord Bord Bord Bord Bord Bord Bord Bord	- 9,4 - 38,0 - 1 - 1 - 2,12 - 49,4 - 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,- 1,5	341,880.94 \$ 480,000.00 100,000.00 135,982.05 14,061.59 471,924.58 310,000.00 133,707.76 134,001.59 1350,764.89	89,394.72 \$ 7,130.39 (135,982.05) 1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31 5,075.00	3,770,968.02 \$ 1,448,125.10 5,219,093.12 260,150.00 1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024.226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45 2,088,011.39	7,342,112.48 \$ 1,000,837.50 8,342,949.98 321,841.00 1,523.308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78 1,330,814.89	9,992,106,04 \$	10,550,203,90 \$	16,420,123.13 \$ 236,562.13 16,656,685.26	10,537,112.06	\$10,646,019.07	\$9,838,784,80	\$11,680,421,00	\$13,201,776.96 \$	107,246,965,2 9,480,000,0 36,000,000,0 36,308,775,7 7,130,3 12,900,0 6,018,389,9 197,081,160,4 4,300,000,0 1,799,999,1 11,000,000,0 4,000,000,0 1,944,498,0 33,851,938,4
Tax Increments 30 and Proceeds-Series A 30nd Proceeds-Series A 30nd Proceeds-Series A 30nd Proceeds-Series 2016 20 eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders 20 eveloper Reimbursement for Series 2016 20 eveloper Reimbursement for Series 2016 20 eveloper Reimbursement for Series 20 eveloper Series 20 evelopers 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds 20 dakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds 20 dakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts 30 Allen Plaza Trotal Developers 20 Parks and Greenspace 4 latins Streetcar Erhancements 5 latins Streetcar Erhancements 6 latins Streetcar Erhancements 7 lotes Solonal 1 reitster Fees 2 conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) 2 cot of Issuance Fees 2 conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) 2 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) 2 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) 2 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 3 cot of Issuance Fees 3 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 4 cot of Issuance Fees 4 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 4 cot of Issuance Fees 4 conomic Study (Sarner and Buxton) 4 cot of Issuance Fees	- 9,4 - 38,0 - 1 - 1 - 2,12 - 49,4 - 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,- 1,5	140,000.00 135,982.05 	7,130,39 (135,962,05) 1,613,148,08 1,573,691,14 1,253,880,00 318,462,00 1,439,451,41 505,779,20 427,944,24 127,752,46	1,448,125.10 5,219,093.12 260,150.00 1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64	1,000,837.50 8,342,949.98 321,841.00 1,523,308.12 564,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	370,737.22 10,362,843.26 46,026.36 2,359,993.88 2,406,019.94	272,870.12 10,823,074.02 10,823,074.02 319,900.84 1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	236,562.13 16,656,685.26 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	231,173,04 10,768,285.10 	230,927.87 10,876,946.94	2,900.00 7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	5,000.00 168,487.94 11,853,908.94	36,308,775.00 5,000.00 223,352.55	9.480,000 36,308,775 7,130 12,900 7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,99 11,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 1,944,488 33,851,938
ond Proceeds-Series A ond Proceeds-Series B ond Proceeds - Series 2016 eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders eveloper Reimbursement for Cost of Issuance etentical Assistance Fees pplication Fees interest Income TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS 3,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: evelopers APS Total Developers arks and Greenspace Identify Series Series Series Series Series Interest Income Total Developers arks and Greenspace Identify Series Se	- 9,4 - 38,0 - 1 - 1 - 2,12 - 49,4 - 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,- 1,5	140,000.00 135,982.05 	7,130,39 (135,962,05) 1,613,148,08 1,573,691,14 1,253,880,00 318,462,00 1,439,451,41 505,779,20 427,944,24 127,752,46	1,448,125.10 5,219,093.12 260,150.00 1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64	1,000,837.50 8,342,949.98 321,841.00 1,523,308.12 564,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	370,737.22 10,362,843.26 46,026.36 2,359,993.88 2,406,019.94	272,870.12 10,823,074.02 10,823,074.02 319,900.84 1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	236,562.13 16,656,685.26 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	231,173,04 10,768,285.10 	230,927.87 10,876,946.94	2,900.00 7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	5,000.00 168,487.94 11,853,908.94	36,308,775.00 5,000.00 223,352.55	9.480.000 36.300,705 7,130 12.900 7,000 6.018.389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000
ond Proceeds-Series B ond Proceeds-Series B ond Proceeds-Series B ond Proceeds - Series 2016 eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders eveloper Reimbursement for Cost of Issuance echnical Assistance Fees pitication Fees pitication Fees eterest Income OTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS: SES OF FUNDS: SES OF FUNDS: SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 1,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 1,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 1,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 1,336,06 SES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 1,336,06 Indication of Second Se	- 38,0 - 1 - 1 - 2,12 49,4 - 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	135,982.05 	(135,982.05) 1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,562,91 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	5,000.00 223,352.55	38,000,000 36,308,775 7,130 12,900 7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 3,200,000 4,000,000 6,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
iond Proceeds - Series 2016 eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders eveloper Reimbursement for Cost of Issuance echnical Assistance Fees pplication Fees therest income 1,336,06 ISES OF FUNDS:	- 1 - 1 - 2.12 49,4 - 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	135,982.05 14,061.59 471,924.58 310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	(135,982.05) 1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,562,91 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	5,000.00 223,352.55	36,308,775 7,130 12,900 7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 4,000,000 4,000,000 1,944,498 33,851,938
eveloper Reimbursement for Interest - Bondholders eveloper Reimbursement for Cost of Issuance echnical Assistance Fees pulcication Fees pulcication Fees terest Income 70**TAL SOURCES OF FUNDS ISES OF FUNDS: 1,336,06 ISES OF FUNDS: Evelopers 30 Alien Plaza The Reynolds Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Gateway APS Total Developers Total Developers Total Developers Tarks and Greenspace Idlanta Streetcar Extension Idlanta Public Schools Evelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Evelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- rofessional Trustee Fees conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sot of Issuance Fees coonting and Auditing Fees Onthuring Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbyring Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal whtrage Report	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	14,061.59 471,924.58 310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	(135,982.05) 1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	5,000.00 223,352.55	7,130. 12,900. 7,000. 6,018,389. 197,081,160. 2,310,000. 4,300,000. 1,799,999. 11,000,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Developer Reimbursement for Cost of Issuance rechnical Assistance Fees spilication Fees interest income TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS 1,336,06 ISES OF FUNDS: ISES	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	14,061.59 471,924.58 310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	(135,982.05) 1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	223,352.55	12,900 7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 3,200,000 4,000,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
rechnical Assistance Fees pupilication Fees Interest Income 1,336,06 ISES OF FUNDS: ISES	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	14,061.59 471,924.58 310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	1,613,148.08 1,573,691.14 1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	223,352.55	7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 3,200,000 4,000,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
Application Fees Interest Income OTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS 1,336,06 SIES OF FUNDS: Poelopars 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds Ocaldand Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Gatewy APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Idianta Streetcar Enhancements Idianta Streetcar Extension Idianta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program D	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,	310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	7,000.00 208,106.65 10,056,791.45	168,487,94 11,853,908.94	223,352.55	7,000 6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 3,200,000 4,000,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
IJSES OF FUNDS: JSES OF FUNDS: JSES OF FUNDS: JOHICA SOURCES OF FUNDS JOHICA SOURCES JOHICA	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,	310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	208.106.65 10,056,791.45 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	11,853,908.94		6,018,389 197,081,160 2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 3,200,000 4,000,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
ISES OF FUNDS: 1,336,66	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5,	310,000.00 785,970.00 533,707.76 581,721.79 539,365.34	1,573,691.14 1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,9 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288,45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	10,362,843.26 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10,823,074.02 	16,656,685.26 - - - - - 51,027.68 197,191.80 248,219.48	10,768,285.10 	10,876,946.94	10,056,791.45 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	11,853,908.94		2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 3,200,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
JSES OF FUNDS: Developers 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds Cakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capital Gateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Atlanta Streetar Extension Atlanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Abdreigne Report La Maddeline	- 2,3 - 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	310,000.00 785,970.00 -533,707.76 -581,721.79 539,365.34	1,253,880.00 318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 4,073,269.31	260,150,00 1,159,696,99 6,403,532,71 2,109,448,17 2,024,226,94 7,233,64 11,964,288.45	321,841.00 1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) 3,342,768.78	46,026,36 2,359,993.58 2,406,019.94	319,900,84 1,747,306,20 2,067,207,04	51,027,68 197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67	189,384.48	7,017.59	- - - - - - - - - -	\$49,738,904.51	2,310,000 4,300,000 1,799,999 11,000,000 4,000,000 5,297,440 1,944,498 33,851,938
Jevelopers 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Cateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Ulanta Streetcar Enhancements Ulanta Streetcar Extension Ulanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Pevelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Cocontining Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Wolfrage Report a Maddeleine	- 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	785,970.00 	318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		:	4,300,000. 1,799,999. 11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Jevelopers 30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Cateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Ulanta Streetcar Enhancements Ulanta Streetcar Extension Ulanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Pevelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Cocontining Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Wolfrage Report a Maddeleine	- 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	785,970.00 	318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		:	4,300,000. 1,799,999. 11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
30 Allen Plaza The Reynolds Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Gateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Idianta Streetcar Extension Idianta Public Schools Verlopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Program Verlopment Program Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Program Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Program Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Costs - Verlopment Costs -	- 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	785,970.00 	318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		- - - - - - -	4,300,000. 1,799,999. 11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
The Reynolds Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Cateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Ullaria Streetcar Enhancements Ullaria Streetcar Extension Ullaria Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Povelopment Co	- 2,7 - 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	785,970.00 	318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		- - - - - - -	4,300,000. 1,799,999. 11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Oakland Park 12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Gateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Hutaria Streeticar Erhancements Hutaria Subulic Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Develo	- 1,6 - 5 - 1,5	581,721.79 539,365.34	318,462.00 1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	1,159,696.99 6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		-	1,799,999. 11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498.
12 Centennial Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Cateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Ullanta Streetcar Extension Ullanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Povelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Povelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Voltrage Report a Maddeleine	- - 5 - 1,5	581,721.79 539,365.34	1,439,451.41 505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 	6,403,532.71 2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 	1,523,308.12 584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		- - - - - -	11,000,000. 3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Tribute Lofts Sweet Auburn Capitol Gateway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Idlanta Streetcar Extension Idlanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Tustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Dost of Issuance Fees Counting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbyring Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Ubtrage Report	- - 5 - 1,5	581,721.79 539,365.34	505,779.20 427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	2,109,448.17 2,024,226.94 7,233.64 - 11,964,288.45	584,772.63 920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		-	3,200,000. 4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Sweet Auburn Capitol Gatheway APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Ullania Streetcar Extension Ullania Streetcar Extension Ullania Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Porelessional Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Locounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Voltrage Report a Maddeleine	- 1,5 -	539,365.34	427,944.24 127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	2,024,226.94 7,233.64 11,964,288.45	920,080.67 (7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		: : :	4,000,000. 5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
Capitol Cateway APS Total Developers Total Developers Total Developers Total Developers APS Total Developers Allanta Streetcar Enhancements Allanta Streetcar Extension Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Trustee Fees Contomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Dost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource folland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Abdreleine	- 1,5 -	539,365.34	127,752.46 - 4,073,269.31	7,233.64 11,964,288.45	(7,233.64) - 3,342,768.78	2,359,993.58 - 2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		- - - -	5,297,440. 1,944,498. 33,851,938.
APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Allanta Streetcar Enhancements Allanta Streetcar Extension Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Povelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Povelopment Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Poresional Trustee Fees Coonomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Wunicap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Abdriage Report a. Maddeline	-	-	4,073,269.31	11,964,288.45	3,342,768.78	2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59			1,944,498. 33,851,938.
APS Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Atlanta Streetcar Enhancements Atlanta Streetcar Extension Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline	-	-	4,073,269.31	11,964,288.45	3,342,768.78	2,406,019.94	1,747,306.20 2,067,207.04	197,191.80 248,219.48	702,998.67		7,017.59		- -	1,944,498.0 33,851,938.6
Total Developers Parks and Greenspace Allanta Streetcar Enhancements Allanta Streetcar Extension Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Tussee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Wunicap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline	- 8,8 - - - - -	- - - - - - -					2,067,207.04	248,219.48		189,384.48 - -				33,851,938.6
Allanta Streetcar Exhancements Allanta Streetcar Extension Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline		- - - -	5,075.00 - - - -	2,088,011.39 - - - -	1,330,814.89 - - -	1,310,026.95 - - -	12,943.59 - - -	11,645.00 - -	236,778.86	-	277,476.69	-	-	4,995,295.6
Allania Streetcar Enhancements Allania Streetcar Extension Allania Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Professional Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Onthining Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Abdreleine	-	-	- - - -		1,330,614.69		12,943.39	11,045.00	230,776.00		277,476.69			4,990,290.0
Atlanta Streetcar Extension Atlanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Trofessional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Ionitruing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Ioliand & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Abditrage Report La Maddeline			-	-		-	-	-		-	2//,4/0.09			277.476.6
Allanta Public Schools Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Sost of Issuance Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Trustee Fees Ec	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-					
Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Iolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Woltrage Report La Maddeline		-	-		-	-	-			-	-	274,999.35	189,820.54	464,819.8
Development Costs - Fascade Improvement Program- "rofessional Trustee Fees Cononine Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Ccounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource rolland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Voltrage Report a Maddeline	-	-	-					-	-	-	-	-	593,155.00	593,155.0
Professional Trustee Fees Conomic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure- Municap and Public Resource Holland & Kinght - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Teedit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Aubitrage Report La Maddeline						-	-	-	-	-	-	57,524.09	307,526.51	365,050.6
Trustee Fees Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Accounting Booksoure Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline	-											E4 40E 00	0.000.50	00.057
Economic Study (Garner and Buxton) Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure- Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Madeleine		-	-		-			-	-	-	-	54,165.00	8,892.50	63,057.5
Cost of Issuance Fees Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure-Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline	-	-	-	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	8,000.00	10,450.00	8,800.00	83,250.0
Accounting and Auditing Fees Continuing Disclosure- Municap and Public Resource Holland & Knight - Lobbying Tredit Rating - Municap Tredit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Woltrage Report La Maddeline	-	-	-		-			7,705.33	2,000.00	-				9,705.3
Continuing Disclosure- Municap and Public Resource clolland & Kinght - Lotbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Arbitrage Report a. Maddeline	- 1,3	340,854.50							-	-	-	-	443,667.00	1,784,521.5
Continuing Disclosure- Municap and Public Resource clolland & Kinght - Lotbying Credit Rating - Municap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's egal Arbitrage Report a. Maddeline	-		4,000.00	40.200.00	15,200.00	19,200.00	20,000.00	20,899.55	18,800.00	19,300.00	19,700.00	19,800.00	19,800.00	216,899.5
Holland & Knight - Lobbying Credit Rating - Nunicap Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Madeleine			10,953.37	4,632.50	6,071.25	8,521.25	10,043.33	20,353.75	6,068.36	15,097.94	9,816.25	9,402.50	18,667.50	119,628.0
Credir Rating - Municap Credir Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Maddeline			10,000.01	1,002.00	0,071.20	0,021.20	10,010.00	20,000.70	0,000.00	5.644.44	0,010.20	0,102.00	10,001.00	5.644.4
Credit Rating - Standard & Poor's Legal Arbitrage Report La Madeleine	-	-	-		-		20.425.00			5,044.44				22,125.0
Legal Arbitrage Report La Madeleine	-	-	-				22,125.00	-			-		-	
Arbitrage Report La Madeleine	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,000.00	-	-	-	-	-		23,000.0
_a Madeleine	-	-	-	5,578.35	-	6,280.70	17,587.50	-	2,361.93	-	23,067.50	26,333.52	70,795.00	152,004.5
	-	-	-	-	-	7,000.00	3,500.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	1,200.00	890.00	909.00	17,099.0
HR&A Consulting	-	-							29.15	-	-	-	-	29.1
	-						_	_	2.000.00	12,579.80	_		-	14.579.8
Backstrom - Consulting	-	-							,	,	65,832.34	5,768.73	_	71,601.0
BCG-GP Upgrade											00,002.04	353.60		353.6
	-	-	-						-	-		303.00		
The Riddle Company	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	2,000.00		-	2,000.0
Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	571.00	-	-	571.0
ADA Program Cost Recovery	-	-	-	-	-	-	114,961.00	74,523.00	74,882.00	166,004.59	266,039.00	140,717.48	128,021.54	965,148.6
ADA Program Cost Recovery-Indirect	-	-	-	-	-	-			35,319.27	42,357.00	71,308.00	33,455.00	37,001.00	219,440.2
Maxberry - Consulting	-	-		-		-	-	-	532.50					532.5
Reznick - Economic Study	-	-	-						-		10,000.00			10,000.0
Thomson & Reuters	-	-							_	253.00	.,		_	253.0
First Southwest Financial- FC-COA	_	_		1,704.00						200.00				1,704.0
	-	-	-	1,704.00		-		-	-		15,389.06			15.389.0
Cohn Reznick	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		10,369.06		-	
Garner	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	5,000.00	-			5,000.0
Royster - Consulting	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	2,277.78	-	-		2,277.7
Bank & Service Charges/Trust Investment Sweep 1	7.00	152.04	299.79	240.70	766.41	835.42	973.46	1,785.68	1,296.29	929.94	37,004.74	95,300.64	110,544.38	250,146.4
Payment to Refunding Bond Escrow Agent	-	-											36,361,969.00	36,361,969.0
Bond Principal	-	-				1,135,000.00	1,200,000.00	1,265,000.00	1,340,000.00	1,420,000.00	1,500,000.00	1,585,000.00	1,675,000.00	11,120,000.0
Bond Interest			1 093 734 50	2 642 580 00	2.642.580.00	2.642.580.00	2.578.736.26	2.511.236.25	2.440.080.00	2.364.705.00	2.284.830.00	2.200.455.00	3.057.969.00	26.459.486.0
		191,771.43	5,187,331.97	16,755,235.39	7,346,201.33	7,543,464.26	6,079,077.18	4,170,568.04	4,872,347.03	4,252,733.97	4,599,252.17	4,514,614.91	43,032,537.97	118,545,152.6
SOURCES (USES) BALANCE \$ 1,336,04	7.00 10,1			(11,536,142.27) \$					5,895,938.07 \$					

The Flow of Funds Comparison is reported on the cash basis of accounting which reports only cash collected and disbursed during the period presented.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

BALANCES OF FUNDS UNDER THE BOND INDENTURE - CASH BASIS

JUNE 30, 2016

Trust Funds - U.S. Bank:

Project Fund- Series A	\$ 5,972
Project Fund- Series B	311,724
Supplemental Reserve Fund	-
Tax Increment Fund	3,624
Interest Fund	1,113,568
2005 Bonds Debt Service Reserve Fund	58,241
2016 Bonds Debt Service Reserve Fund	3,049,410
2016 Bonds Cost of Issuance Fund	 40,233
Total Trust Funds	\$ 4,582,772

CITY OF ATLANTA, GEORGIA EASTSIDE TAX ALLOCATION DISTRICT FUND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE RATIO - CASH BASIS JUNE 30, 2016

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Calculation Based on Offering Memorandum

Revenue for debt service calculation:	
Tax increment collections- 2015 Property Tax Year	\$ 13,000,464
Tax increment collections- 2014 Property Tax Year	124,650
Tax increment collections- 2013 Property Tax Year	16,224
Tax increment collections- 2012 Property Tax Year	16,266
Tax increment collections- 2011 Property Tax Year	12,239
Tax increment collections- 2010 Property Tax Year	17,929
Tax increment collections- 2009 Property Tax Year	 14,007
Total Tax Increment Collections	13,201,777
Interest income DSR fund	210,186
Total revenue for debt service calculation	\$ 13,411,963
Expenditures for debt service calculation:	
Debt service paid:	
Interest paid July 1, 2015	\$ 1,055,650
Interest paid January 1, 2016	1,055,650
Principal paid January 1, 2016	 1,675,000
Total debt service paid	 3,786,300
Total expenditures for debt service calculation	3,786,300
Excess revenue over expenditures	\$ 9,625,663
DSC Ratio for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2016	3.54



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Directors
The Atlanta Development Authority, d/b/a Invest Atlanta

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund of the City of Atlanta, Georgia Eastside Tax Allocation District Fund (the "Fund"), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Fund's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 19, 2017. Our report includes a reference that the Fund is not the entire reporting entity of the City of Atlanta, Georgia.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion of the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Manddin & Jenlins, LLC

Atlanta, Georgia January 19, 2017